AND RAFE OF STATE OF

The man who continues to deceive the public by supposedly using Shaykh Rabee's speech to convince his western audience.

Upon analysing the title of Shaykh Rabee's recent article (as they claim) on Sahab as well as the title (description) given by Amjad Rafiq on his twitter account:

Shaykh, Imām, ʿAllāmah Rabīʿ bin Hādī to the <u>Leader</u> of the Muṣaʿfiqah [Muḥammad bin Hādī]: "You have zero evidence in your hands, so fear Allāh!

The following points will - In sha Allāh - become clear to the just and intelligent Salafi:

- How deceptive this man Amjad really is!
- 2. How this man Amjad shamelessly continues to use the works of our Shaykh Rabee and how he continues to hide behind him to fulfil his own goals!!
- 3. How untrustworthy this man Amjad is in transmitting the works of Shaykh Rabee! In fact he has been doing this since he started writing his futile articles concerning the Fitnah of the Sa'afiqah. He quotes Shaykh Rabee in his articles and then adds his own useless commentary which has not been read or approved by Shaykh Rabee.

So pay attention - O Salafi - and do not be deluded by Amjad's deceptive manner of writing.

- 4. Amjad has deceptively and in a fraudulent manner given Shaykh Rabee's latest article regarding the Fitnah of the Sa'afiqah a description from himself without the Shaykh's consent. This is clear treachery, betrayal and tampering with the works of the scholars.
- 5. This in turn does bring Amjad's credibility as a transmitter of the scholars' work let alone being a teacher "Ustadh" under scrutiny and is something which is certainly questionable!!





Shaykh, Imām, 'Allāmah Rabī' bin Hādī to the Leader of the Muṣa'fiqah [Muḥammad bin Hādī]: "You have zero evidence in your hands, so fear Allāh!" 13-page PDF, download and read ==> manhaj.com/manhaj/assets/...... and view other documents and resources: manhaj.com/manhaj/? uyryh

Shaykh, Imam, 'Aliamah Rabi' bin Hadi to the Leader of the Muşa'fiqah Muḥammad bin Hadi]: "You have zero evidence in your hands, so fear Aliah!"

ويستفاد من عجزك عن إقامة الأدلة التي تدينهم أنك صفر اليدين من الأدلة؛ فاتن الله في تفسك وفيهم، واجعل نصب عينيك قرله تعالى: (مَا يَلْفِظُ مِنْ قَرِّلِ إِلَّا لَدَيْهِ رَقِيبٌ عَنِيدٌ.)

- 6. Amjad mentioned in the title (description) that Shaykh Muhammad is the leader of the "Musafiqah". We ask Amjad where in this article or any prior to this one did Shaykh Rabee mention this?! This point also highlights how keen and enthusiastic Amjad is in trying his utmost to harm the reputation of Shaykh Muhammad and to bring him down. However, as the saying goes "clouds are not harmed by the barking of the dogs".
- 7. Rather what we do find is that throughout the article Shaykh Rabee continues to address Shaykh Muhammad in a respectable and just manner and continues to call him "Shaykh" whereas Amjad oppressively removes the title "Shaykh" while addressing Shaykh Muhammad as can be seen from the description / title that he has given the Shaykh's article. And even if Shaykh Rabee was to address Shaykh Muhammad as 'Muhammad bin Hadi', then this is something acceptable since he is older and it is something which is not strange amongst the scholars. For example, Shaykh Rabee has referred to Shaykh Ubayd as 'Ubayd', but this is not a proof for others to do the same.
- 8. Amjad, on the other hand, treats Shaykh Muhammad as a deviant and as a person of innovation without any proof whatsoever which is precisely the Manhaj of the Haddadiyyah.

Page 3

Amjad does not have a 'shred of evidence' for his false accusations against Shaykh Muhammad and for accusing the Shaykh of being a Haddadi. Instead he has unjustly continued to ignore the dark history of the criminal Sa'afiqah network and sufficed with calling to taqleed which is contrary to the Manhaj of the Salaf and contrary to the Manhaj of Shaykh Rabee rather it is a form of Hizbiyyah. Shaykh al-Allamah Ahmed bin Yahya al-Najmi

"Salafiyyah with us is not blind-following a man from our time in everything he says and in every religious verdict he issues. Rather we consider this type of blind-following to be a form of confined Hizbiyyah and a form of blameworthy fanaticism of Madhabs. A scholar no matter how high his standing is, no matter how noble he is and no matter how knowledgable he is, it is a must that we take from some of his statements and reject others". [Al-Mawrid Al-Azb Al-Zulal, p. 289]

9. Amjad continues to lie when he says that Shaykh Muhammad has no evidence. If that is the case, then he should refute the evidences of Shaykh Muhammad such as the Hani Buraik & Sindh Shahbaz scandal, newly invented principles of Arafat, lying of the Sa'afiqah...). Amjad cannot and will not be able to refute these irrefutable evidences and therefore keeps on ignoring them and diverting people's attention away from them.

He has translated and continues to translate something which he knows is not correct i.e. Shaykh Muhammad has no evidences. Shaykh Rabee is excused as the proofs have not reached him, but Amjad lacks truthfulness and continues to lie and use deception.

10. This tweet alone proves to the intelligent one how deceptive this man Amjad really is. If one really does want to see to what extent this man Amjad has been deceptive and untrustworthy in transmitting the speech of Shaykh Rabee then please refer to his other articles around this Fitnah of the Sa'afiqah. And this is precisely the Fitnah of the Sa'afiqah; one of deception and lying. The Sa'afiqah who have surrounded Imam Rabee continue to use deception in order to propagate their evil.

11. Now let's wait and see how much deception Amjad has used in translating this article by Shaykh Rabee (as they claim). We hope that this serves as a reminder for Amjad to stop deceiving while transmitting the works of Shaykh Rabee and that he fears Allah and sticks to accurately translating this article without adding his own useless commentary.

Allāh the Most High says, And mix not truth with falsehood, nor conceal the truth while you know (the truth).

A serious question for SP: What is it that you want? That there should be evidences against the Sa'afiqah or is it that Shaykh Rabee must be convinced of the evidences?!

If it is the first, then the evidences are clear as the sun when it is at its highest point in the sky. However, if it is the latter, then what is your evidence from the Book and the Sunnah for this condition i.e. that Shaykh Rabee must read all the proofs and that he must agree to them?! We eagerly wait for your answer backed with evidences and in accordance to the Manhaj of the Salaf.

Please note that the attribution of this article to Shaykh Rabee is something many Salafis are still in doubt about due to the deceptive and lying nature of the Sa'afiqah. We do therefore urge those who read this article not to be hasty in spreading it until they are certain that it is ALL from Imam Rabee and until they are certain that Amjad has on this occasion not behaved in a deceptive and treacherous manner. As a matter of fact EVERYTHING that has been attributed to Shaykh Rabee during this Fitnah of the Sa'afiqah needs to be approved by the Shaykh himself either by way of an audio or his signature due to the deceptive nature of the Sa'afiqah and due to restricted access to the Shaykh.